

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/015,076	Applicant(s) WILLIAMS ET AL.	
	Examiner Christopher E. Lee	Art Unit 2112	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Final Rejection

 (1) Christopher E. Lee (USPTO).

(3) _____.

 (2) Christopher P. Maiorana (Reg. No. 42,829).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 December 2004
Time: 2:00pm (EST)
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

Claim 4

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative agreed to amend Claim 4 to correct the claimed invention, which has been indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention, detailed in the accompanying Examiner's amendment.